

<b>Family Name</b>	Mccord
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1286380
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Mccord
<b>Given Name</b>	Laura
<b>Person ID</b>	1286380
<b>Title</b>	JPA 37: West of Gibfield
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>I am writing to you to outline my objections to proposal 37, West of Gibfield. I live very close to the development site and am very concerned about the proposal and the effects it will have, if it goes through, on what are already congested roads and an overstretched infrastructure.</p> <p>There is already too much congestion on the roads and surrounding area near the site. The proposed development will further increase the number of cars on local roads, worsening congestion in Atherton, but also rendering public transport services unreliable. This proposal therefore contradicts the aims outlined in GM's Clean Air Plan, as this will only worsen local air pollution levels, rather than improve them.</p> <p>I have also seen very little information in the proposal regarding new schools, health and recreation provision to cope with the influx of people. The local infrastructure is already stretched, particularly regarding school provision. I have 2 children of primary school age REDACTED TEXT. I am already concerned about high school applications as there are not enough places in the local vicinity and my child may have to travel to neighbouring towns and even boroughs to ensure he gets an education. This proposal will only worsen the position and put increased pressure on an already over stretched provision and further increase stress levels of parents.</p> <p>For the above reasons I am urging you to reconsider the West of Gibfield proposals.</p>